

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/642,893	STEENWINKEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Frank Eymard, applicants' attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 December 2006
**Time:** 3:05 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

22, 23 and 38-50

Prior art documents discussed:

none


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to cancel claims 22, 23 and 43-50 and to amend claims 38-42 by replacing "one" with --two-- in all occurrences. Attorney granted the examiner authorization for entering these proposed examiner's amendments. Attorney also stated that he intends to file a divisional application for cancelled claims 43-50..